EXHIBIT 7

04/13/2005 Lee P. Dore 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS: CENTRAL DIVISION 2 3 AMERICAN MANUFACTURERS 4 MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY * 5 CASE NO. 03-40266 CBS VS. TOWN OF NORTH BROOKFIELD * 6 7 DEPOSITION 8 OF 9 LEE P. DORE 10 Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff on Wednesday April 13, 2005 at the offices of 11 Dore and Whittier, So. Burlington, Vermont. 12 13 **APPEARANCES:** DEBORAH S. GRIFFIN, ESQ., of the firm Holland & Knight, 10 St. James Avenue, 11th Floor, Boston, 14 15 MA 02116, appeared and represented the Plaintiff. THOMAS W. MCENANEY, ESQ., of the firm Kopelman and 16 Paige, P.C., 31 St. James Avenue, Boston, MA 02116, appeared and represented the Defendant. 17 MATTHEW M. O'LEARY, ESQ., of the firm Donovan 18 Hatem LLP, World Trade Center East, Two Seaport Lane, Boston, MA 02210, appeared and represented 19 the Deponent. 20 21 COURT REPORTER: Virginia L. Simmer, RPR 22 23 24 25

115

1 A. I believe, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 40 in accordance with the procedures you described previously with respect to the preparation of meeting minutes?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Would you take a look at the document that's been marked Exhibit 41, please. Can you identify Exhibit 41?
- A. School building committee meeting minutes of 19 March 2003.
 - Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 41?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you prepare it on or about April 3, 2003?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you prepare it in accordance with the procedures you described previously regarding the preparation of meeting minutes?
- 18 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did Mr. Conway at that meeting of March 19,
 20 2003 express his view about whether Sciaba was still
 21 two months behind or was further behind or had made
 22 up time?
- A. I don't recall specifically if he did at that meeting.
 - Q. Did you form such an opinion at that time?

1 Exhibit 41 beyond what's either written there or 2 that you've testified to?

- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Was somebody tasked at the end of that meeting to discuss that issue with North Brookfield's attorney?

MR. MCENANEY: Objection.

- A. No, I don't believe so, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Why don't you take a look at the document that's been marked Exhibit 42, please. Can you identify Exhibit 42, please?
- 12 A. These are school building committee meeting 13 minutes of 2 April 2003.
 - Q. And you didn't attend that meeting, right?
- 15 A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

19

20

21

- 16 | Q. Who prepared Exhibit 42?
- 17 A. They were prepared based on notes from Chris
 18 Conway.
 - Q. Did you prepare the minutes or did Chris Conway prepare them?
 - A. I believe actually one of our administrative assistants prepared them and I reviewed them.
- Q. Did you go over them with Chris Conway before signing them?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- Q. Did you consider Exhibit 42 to have been prepared in accordance with the usual procedures for preparing meeting minutes?
 - A. Yes, I would.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. As of the April 2, 2003 meeting did Mr. Conway have an opinion as to whether Sciaba had made up any of the two months that it was behind schedule at the earlier time?
- A. I don't recall any conversations with him. I don't see anything here specifically stating that.
- Q. Had you received any information about progress on the job that led you to believe that Sciaba had made up time since Mr. Conway expressed the view that Sciaba was two months behind?
 - A. That they had made up time?
- Q. Right.
- 17 A. No.
 - Q. Paragraph 3 -- strike that. Paragraph 4 on the second page of Exhibit 42 reflects a request by the school building committee to investigate a projected completion date based on manpower history to date as well as payment requisitions and says the committee's concerned that potential liquidated damage costs will be very high and question if the contractor's retainage alone could cover these

- 1 page that looks to me like it says formal LD request
- 2 | Ed come to next meeting, building committee meeting
- 3 April 30th. Can you help us understand that note at
- 4 | all?

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

- 5 A. Not sure what it means.
 - Q. After the job meeting on April 2nd did anyone ask you to ask Ed Sciaba to attend the next building committee meeting?
- A. I don't recall. I know there were a few instances over the course of the project where the building committee did ask to invite Ed Sciaba but I don't remember specifically the timeframes of those. The Ed they're referring to here could also
- be Ed O'Malley who was the principal. He was usually in attendance at job meetings.
- Q. Could you take a look at the document that's been marked Exhibit 44, please. Can you identify Exhibit 44, please?
- A. It's a memo from myself to E.J. Sciaba's project manager Scott Finneran.
 - Q. Are the four bullet points at the bottom of Exhibit 44 the list of critical path items that it was indicated in Exhibit 42 that Dore and Whittier would issue in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 42?
 - A. And the items you're referring are items 1, 2,

and do is identify items that Sciaba needs quickly because all the items usually come in fairly close together and there are some items that are hot, what we call hot items that need to get completed first in order to sequence the job correctly or there may be a certain sequence of work coming up during the construction progress that requires us to approve a submittal. And so these four were items that were very important to the sequencing of work at that point and we had not received shops or approved shops for these items.

- Q. How did you know they were hot items?
- A. Mostly because they were required for the sequence of work that was coming up. So we would review it at a job meeting with Sciaba and it would be a discussion that we would have.
- Q. I'll hand you now what's been marked as Exhibit 45. Can you identify Exhibit 45, please?
- A. School building committee meeting minutes from 16 April 2003.
 - Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 45?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you prepare these minutes on April 21, 24 2003?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 45 in accordance with the procedures you described earlier regarding the preparation of meeting minutes?
 - A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. In paragraph 2 the minutes say at the end of the sentence, Progress has been slow over the past two weeks. correct?
- A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. And was that an accurate statement?
- A. I believe so. I don't recall exactly what was going on at the site at that time.
- Q. What analysis did Dore and Whittier do, if any, to come to the conclusion that progress had been slow over the two weeks preceding April 16th?
- A. That would have been based on Chris Conway's observations of activity on the site over the past two weeks in question.
- Q. Was it also based on his judgment about what the progress should have been?
- A. I don't know if that entered into that context. I know that he observed the site over that time duration and it didn't appear to him that there was a lot of activity going on.
- Q. You don't know if he based his opinion on more than just a general impression?

127

A. I don't know.

1

2

3

4

. 2

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Paragraph 5 of Exhibit 45 refers to a projected completion graph based on requisitions, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to hand you the document that's been marked Exhibit 46 and ask if that's the graph that was referenced in paragraph 5 of Exhibit 45?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. Who prepared Exhibit 46?
- 11 | A. I did.
 - Q. Would you describe what you've depicted in Exhibit 46 please and where you got the information?
 - A. Sure. The top line on the graph there represents what we have projected the cash flow to be for the job for E.J. Sciaba. The bottom line illustrates what the actual billings were on a monthly basis. Up to that point kind of midway through there it's hard to read. It says something like April 2003 with the two hash marks on each end is a projection based on an averaged requisition that they had been forwarding to date which I believe is at the very bottom of the page, actual average billing per month of 392,000.
 - Q. And what conclusion did you draw after

compiling the projected drawdown part of the graph and the actual part of the graph?

- A. Based on this modeling it appeared that the construction was going to be about 14 months beyond substantial completion if they followed a consistent path of the average billing rate.
- Q. When we were looking at Exhibit 42 paragraph 4 we were talking about the building committee's request that D and W investigate a projected completion date based on manpower history as well as payment requisitions, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.

- Q. Was Exhibit 46 intended to be your investigation of a projected completion date based on payment requisitions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do any other analysis based on manpower history to come up with a projected completion date?
- A. No, it was based on -- this is -- the analysis that I did was based on requisitions as far as I can remember. I don't recall exactly if Chris Conway had prepared any manpower scheduling based on his daily reports or not. I think we had a conversation about it but I don't know if it was actually

completed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Going back to Exhibit 45, we were looking at paragraph 5, the third sentence of which says the projection indicates a completion date of January 2005, more than one year late. That's — that was — that corresponded to the 14 months that you referenced in one of your earlier answers?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then the next sentence says, Substantial completion with all approved extensions of contract is December 15, 2003, right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And that was the date from changeorder 3?
 - A. Yes, the date of the second substantial completion date for all of the building.
 - Q. The last full sentence on page 1 of Exhibit 45 says, DW and the committee are very concerned that this schedule will not be completed anywhere near the contract timeframe. Can you recall anything more of the discussion beyond what's reported there?
 - A. I think it was just a general discussion from the committee that they were concerned based on some of this information and recent lack of progress on the project that it didn't appear that they were going to be hitting the schedule.

O. Who voiced the concerns referenced in that

- Q. Who voiced the concerns referenced in that sentence?
 - A. I don't know specifically.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did anyone at the meeting voice the view that it was still possible for the contract to be completed on time?
- A. I don't recall any specific conversations about that, no.
- Q. Did you think as of April 16, 2003 that it was possible for the contract to be completed on time?
- A. I don't think I formed an opinion on that. If I had been listening to the contractor then I would agree with that statement that they would be able to complete because they had consistently told us they were still going to be able to complete within the contract period.
 - Q. But you didn't believe it?
- A. I guess my opinion at that point would be skeptical.
- Q. The next sentence in Exhibit 45, the one that starts at the bottom of the first page and carries over to page 2 says, The committee noted that additional costs will be incurred to pay the architect and construction manager beyond the scheduled completion dates. These funds will need

- 1 to be made up by liquidated damages of a thousand
- 2 | dollars per day for each and every day the project
- 3 | is beyond substantial completion. Liquidated
- 4 damages are estimated at over 400,000 based on the
- 5 projected completion date. Who said that at the
- 6 | meeting?
- 7 | A. I don't recall specifically. I think someone
- 8 | was probably playing on their calculator at the
- 9 | meeting and brought up that comment.
- 10 | Q. It was someone on the school building
- 11 | committee rather than someone from Dore and Whittier
- 12 | who made that statement?
- 13 A. I don't specifically recall who said the
- 14 | statement.
- 15 Q. Did anyone disagree with it? Did anyone voice
- 16 | disagreement with it at the meeting I should say?
- 17 | A. Not that I recall.
- 18 Q. Do you recall how much was held in retainage
- 19 | as of April 16, 2003?
- 20 A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- 21 Q. If we look at the last requisition prior to
- 22 | that time that will tell us?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. We'll get there. Was there any discussion at
- 25 | the April 16 school building committee meeting about

the possibility of withholding liquidated damages

- from any future payments over and above retainage?
- A. No, not that I remember.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. In paragraph 6 there's a discussion of issues relating to various subcontractors who hadn't been paid, is that -- was that your report?
 - A. Yes, just on -- as it says there, various subcontractors were having varying levels of frustration with lack of payment, some had even filed for direct payment claims.
 - Q. Did any of the subcontractors referenced in paragraph 6 speak to you?
 - A. I believe I had heard from Greenwood Roofing and possibly Millis Plumbing.
 - Q. Did the others speak to Chris Conway?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. In paragraph 8 of Exhibit 45 it says the committee discussed available options to them regarding lack of performance by the contractor. What discussion do you recall on that topic at the April 16 school building committee meeting?
 - A. I don't recall any specific options. I think it was just noted here that it was just general discussions. I don't recall any specifics of what options they were throwing out there.

Filed 01/25/2006 Page 15 of 28 04/13/2005 Lee P. Dore 133 Which committee members spoke up on that topic? I don't recall. Α. The next sentence of paragraph 8 says a motion 0. was made to have town counsel review the job status and provide recommendations on how to proceed and

the motion was approved unanimously. Did anyone from Dore and Whittier talk to the town's attorney to review job status and provide recommendations on

> Objection. MR. MCENANEY:

I don't recall. We may have. Α.

how to proceed?

Did you ever learn if somebody from the school building committee contacted town counsel about that?

> Objection. MR. MCENANEY:

- Α. I think I had heard they had been in touch with town counsel.
 - Did you hear the results of that discussion? 0. MR. MCENANEY: Objection. I'm going to instruct Lee not to answer that question. It's inquiring into attorney-client privilege.

MS. GRIFFIN: Are you taking the position that Mr. Dore was part of the

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

04/13/2005 Lee P. Dore 181 Mr. Dore, do you recall approximately when 1 Q. 2 that amendment was signed? 3 I really can't recall the date. Α. 4 Okay. Can you identify Exhibit 68? 0. This is application for payment No. 11 from 5 E.J. Sciaba to the Town of North Brookfield. 6 7 And the signatures on the right-hand side of 0. 8 the first page of Exhibit 68 are first Scott 9 Finneran on behalf of Sciaba, right? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And then a notary for his signature? 0. 12 Yes. Α. 13 And there's no signature on the -- oh, yeah, Q. 14 and the signature at the bottom is Mr. Russell's 15 signature as the architect on the project? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And whose handwriting is on the left-hand side 18 of the page where some numbers were changed? 19 Harald Aksdal's initials. 20 would you describe just generally and briefly 0. 21 what the steps were in the submission review, 22 approval and signature of requisitions on the North 23 Brookfield project? 24 Pencil requisition would be submitted at 25 the job site from Sciaba to Chris Conway and either

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

182

04/13/2005

myself or Harald depending who was down there reviewed with them at the site and it would be marked up on stuff that we disagreed with and then Sciaba would send to Vermont, this office, a formal copy which we would then when we would get it, either myself or Harald would review it with Chris Conway and compare it to the pencil requisition to make sure that the changes were made, and if they weren't made then we would make them. And then we would pass it to Al Russell or in some instances Richard Ziemba would then sign which they would then question us as to and review the pencil requisition and the final requisition before certifying it.

- The pencil requisition was literally in 0. pencil?
- No, it's a term just as a draft copy and it would be basically this not formalized, notarized or signed.
 - But it would still be typed, right? Q.
 - Α. Yes.

And was the -- the handwritten changes on the Q. first page of Exhibit 68, do you know were those changes made because changes that were supposed to have been made from the pencil requisition to the formal submission had not been made or were there

other reasons for those changes?

- A. I'm not specifically sure why these were made for this specific application. In some instances as you described and in other instances it's because of the lag time between when we review the pencil copy versus the formal. Some submittals were supposed to be included, updated project schedules, project photographs and if those didn't come with the final requisition and they were billing for them, then we would also have to mark these down.
- Q. The through date for the period covered by requisition 11 was February 28, 2003, right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And typically when in relation to that through date did you have the meeting to go over the pencil requisition?
 - A. Usually it would be by the 25th of the month.
- Q. So February 25th for the requisition that was about to cover -- that was going to cover the period about to end?
 - A. Right.
- Q. In conducting your review of the requisition after it was submitted formally and signed by Sciaba did you make use of any additional information about events that happened between the time you reviewed

the pencil requisition and the time the formal requisition came in?

- A. Yes, if at the pencil requisition we would question material deliveries, for instance, if they weren't there yet and Sciaba would inform us that they would be coming prior to the submission of the formal, we would check to verify that, indeed, they did come or if they were stored off-site did we have the paperwork, insurance certificates, et cetera that they had actually come in.
- Q. And you would check with Chris Conway about that?
- A. Yes.

MS. GRIFFIN: Mark this next bundle as 69.

BY MR. GRIFFIN

- Q. Can you identify Exhibit 69, please?
- A. This is a memo from Harald Aksdal to Robert O'Neill regarding application for payment No. 11.
- Q. Are the pages behind it additional materials that Sciaba submitted in support of requisition 11?
- A. I don't recall specifically if they were in support of application No. 11.
- Q. At the bottom of some of the pages there's a fax header March 7, '03 Sciaba, can you tell whether

185

1 that records a fax transmission to either you or

- 2 Chris Conway?
- 3 | A. It could be to one of us.
- Q. Could you see if you can identify Exhibit 70, please?
- 6 A. Yes, I can. It's application for payment No.
- 7 | 12.
- Q. And it's got the same signatures on the right-hand side that we referred to before, Scott Finneran and Mr. Russell?
- 11 | A. Yes, it does.
- Q. At the bottom right-hand corner it says,
 Recommend providing separate checks to Millis and
 Greenwood, see attached memo. Is the memo that's
 referred to there the one that is the third page on
- 16 | Exhibit 70?
- 17 A. Bates No. 25494, yes.
- Q. Did you have a role in formulating that
 recommendation and particularly the dollar amounts
 stated for payments to Millis Plumbing and Greenwood
 Industries on page 25494?
- 22 A. I don't recall specifically. I do recall 23 conversations regarding direct payment requests.
- Q. Who did you have the conversations with?
- 25 A. Harald and Chris Conway.

188

Dore and Whittier had the check and was ready to deliver it?

- A. I don't recall that being stated specifically.
- Q. Do you know whether the check was delivered after the meeting broke up?
- A. I believe it was.
- Q. And nobody from Kemper Surety was there when the check was delivered, were they?
- A. I wasn't there either when that happened so I couldn't tell you who was there.
- Q. Take a look at Exhibit 72. Can you identify Exhibit 72. please?
- 13 A. This is application for payment 13B.
- Q. And it was signed by someone on behalf of Sciaba, right?
- 16 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And Mr. Russell signed on behalf of the architect, correct?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit
- 21 73. Can you identify Exhibit 73 as another copy of
- 22 | the face page of application 13B?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. And on this copy there's a note at the top of the page, EJS REC. payment check for this month on

<u>'</u>
ge, infor-
mpleted
d by the

PAGES

ARCHITECT

CONTRACTOR

i e				
APPLICATION A	ND CERTIFICATE I	FOR PAYMENT	AIA DOCUMENT G70)2

TO OWNER: TOWN OF N. BROOKFIELD PROJECT: NORTH BROOKFIELD IR /SR

10 New School Drive

North Brookfield, MA 01535

PROJECT: NORTH BROOKFIELD JR./SR. HI APPLICATION NO: 00012

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

PROJECT NOS.:

Date:

4/1/2003

PAGE ONE OF

FROM CONTRACTOR: E. J. SCIABA CONTRACTING CO. IN VIA ARCHITECT: DORE AND WHITTIER, INC.

18 Wolcott Street Readville, MA 02137 1795 Williston Road

S. Burlington, VT 05403

ONTRACT DATE C. T. T.

238

CONTRACT FOR:

CONTRACTOR'S APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT

Application is made for payment, as shown below, in connection with the contract. Continuation Sheet, AIA Document G703, is attached.

1. ORIGINAL CONTRACT SUM _______\$13,222,000.00

2. Net change by Change Orders

4. TOTAL COMPLETED & STORED TO DATE \$4,960, 248.78 \$4,966,598.57

(Column G on G703)

5. RETAINAGE:

a. 5.000 % of Completed Work \$0.00 (Columns D + E on G703)

b. 5.000 % of Stored Material \$0.00 (Columns F on G703)

Total Retainage (Line 5a + 5b or Total in Columns I on G703)

6. TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE
(Line 4 less Line 5 Total)

8. CURRENT PAYMENT DUE \$287,556. 28 -\$293,589.5

9. BALANCE TO FINISH, INCLUDING RETAINAGE

(Line 3 less Line 6) \$6,639,910.44

CHANGE ORDER SUMMARY	ADDITIONS	DEDUCTIONS
Total changes approved in previous months by Owner	\$150,973.38	\$20,000.00
Total approved this Month	\$5,205.69	\$3349.79 \$0.00
TOTALS		\$ 23,349. ⁷⁹ -\$20,000.00-
NET CHANGES by Change Order	\$132,82	9.28 \$136,179.07

The undersigned Contractor certifies that to the best of the Contractor's leading information and belief the Work covered by this application for Payment has been completed in accordance with the Contract Documents, the lateral work for which previous Certified to the lateral work for which previous Certified to the lateral work from the Owner, and that current beyonent shown herein is now due.

CONTRACTOR: E.1. SCIABA CONTRACTING

Subscribed and sworn to before

me this

day of

DAVID P. RUSSO Notary Public

otary Public: Down P. Commission Expires January 15, 2004

My Commission expires: 1-15-c4

ARCHITECT'S CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT

In accordance with the Contract Documents, based on on-site observations and the data comprising this application, the Architect certifies to the Owner that to the best of the Architect's knowledge, information and belief the Work has progressed as indicated, the quality of the Work is in accordance with the Contract Documents, and the Contractor is entitled to payment of the AMOUNT CERTIFIED.

AMOUNT CERTIFIED

(Attach explanation if amount certified differs from the amount applied for. Initial all figures on this Application and on the Continuation Sheet that are changed to

conform to the amount certified.)

ARCHITECT Dore & Whittier, Inc.

By:

By:

This Certificate is not negotiable. The AMOUNT CERTIFIED is payable only to the Contractor named herein. Issuance, payment and acceptance of payment are without prejudice to any rights of the Owner or Contractor under this Contract.

AIA DOCUMENT G702. APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT 🎉 1992 EDITION . AIA®. \$1992. THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS. 1735 NEW YORK

American Institute of Architects

G702-1992

AVENUE, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-5292 • WARNING: Unlicensed photocopying violates U.S. copyright laws and will subject the violator to legal prosecution. This document has been reproduced electronically with the permission of The American institute of Architects under License 97003 to Primavera Systems, Inc. Reproduction of this document writipout project-specific information is not permitted. Co. In well-without and seasons are reproducted and the properties of the properties of the project of the properties of the project of the proje

PROVIDING SEPERATE CHECKS TO MILLIS & GREENWOOD. SEE ATTACHED MEN

NBDW25492

AIA DOCUMENT G703

NBDW25498

AIA Document G702, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT,

containing Contractor's signed Certification, is attached.

In tabulations below, amounts are stated to the nearest dollar.

Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items may apply.

APPLICATION NO.: 00012

APPLICATION DATE:

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NO.: 238

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G		Н	ı
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUE	WORK COM FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION (D+E)	MPLETED THIS PERIOD	MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D OR E)	TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE (D+E+F)	% (G÷C)	BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)	RETAINAGE (IF VARIABLE) RATE
00005	Mobilization & Internal Office Setup	\$123,722.00	\$123,722.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$123,722.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$6,186.10
00010	General Contractor Bond	\$247,444.00	\$247,444.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,444.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$12,372.20
00015	Subcontractor Bonds	\$118,000.00	\$118,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$118,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$5,900.00
00020	GLPD Insurance	\$26,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$1,300.00
00025	Builders Risk	\$32,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$32,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
00030	Baseline CPM Schedule	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$500.00
00035	Schedule of Values	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$250.00
00040	Construction Sign	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,500.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$175.00
00045	Construction Fence	\$24,000.00	\$24,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$24,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$1,200.00
00050	SUBTOTAL	\$589,666.00	\$589,666.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$589,666.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$29,483.30
00100	GENERAL CONDITIONS	[İ						
00105	Project Staffing	\$452,853.00	\$273,388.21	\$25,158.00	\$0.00	\$298,546.21	65.926	\$154,306.79	\$14,927.31
00110	Field Offices	\$25,000.00	\$15,290.00	\$1,390.00	\$0.00	\$16,680.00	66.720	\$8,320.00	\$834.00
00115	Temporary Telephones	\$10,000.00	\$5,550.00	\$555.00	\$0.00	\$6,105.00	61.050	\$3,895.00	\$305.25
00120	Temporary Toilets	\$5,000.00	\$3,080.00	\$280.00	\$0.00	\$3,360.00	67.200	\$1,640.00	\$168.00
00125	Electrical Consumption	\$16,000.00	\$8,880.00	\$280.00	\$0.00	\$9,160.00	57.250	\$6,840.00	\$458.00
00130	Storage Trailors	\$3,500.00	\$1,950.00	\$888.00	\$0.00	\$2,838.00	81.086	\$662.00	\$141.90
00135	Tarps, Blankets & Temp. Enclosure	\$5,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	80.000	\$1,000.00	\$200.00
00140	Interim Cleaning	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$8,000.00	
00145	Project Photos	\$3,000.00	\$1,660.00	\$1,000 \$166.00	\$0.00	\$1,826.00	60.867	\$1,174.00	\$91.30
00150	CPM Schedule Updates	\$16,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,000 \$166.00 \$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,826.00 \$9,000.00	60.867 -56.250	10,000.00	\$91.30 \$450.00
00155	Registered Survey	\$15,000.00	\$14,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,000.00	93.333	\$1,000.00	\$700.00
00160	Layout Stakes & Supplies	\$10,000.00	\$8,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,500.00	85.000	\$1,500.00	\$425.00
00165	G.C. As-Builts & Closeout Documents	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	. \$0.00	0	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
00170	Temporary Wate-	\$4,000.00	\$3 108.00	\$222.00	\$0.00	\$3,330 00	83.250	\$670.00	\$166.50
00175	Dumpsters	\$18,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$0.00			\$8,700.00	\$465.00
00180	Final Cleaning	\$10,000.00	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
00185	Building Permit	\$3,000.00	1	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	o	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
00299	SUBTOTAL	\$609,353.00		\$34,439.00			63.452	\$222,707.79	\$19,332.26
02060.00	BUILDING DEMOLITION								
02060.05	Demo Existing School	\$67,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	+++,	1
02060.98	SUBTOTAL	\$67,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$67,500.00	\$0.00

NBDW25504

Ala Document G702, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT,

containing Contractor's signed Certification, is attached.

In tabulations below, amounts are stated to the nearest dollar.

Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items may apply.

APPLICATION NO.: 00012

APPLICATION DATE:

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NO.: 238

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G		Н	I
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUE	WORK COM FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION (D+E)	MPLETED THIS PERIOD	MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D OR E)	TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE (D+E+F)	% (G÷C)	BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)	RETAINAGE (IF VARIABLE) RATE
05300.98	SUBTOTAL	\$101,100.00	\$87,400.00	\$4,250.00	\$0.00	\$91,650.00	90.653	\$9,450.00	\$4,582.50
05410.00 05410.05 05410.98	STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS Stud Shear Connectors SUBTOTAL	\$18,000.00 \$18,000.00	1		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$18,000.00 \$18,000.00	1 1
05500.00 05500.05 05500.98	FSB - MISCELLANEOUS METALS Miscellaneous Metals SUBTOTAL	\$239,000.00 \$239,000.00	•	1	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$28,929.00 \$28,929.00	12.104 12.104	1	
06100.00 06100.05 06100.10 06100.98	ROUGH CARPENTRY Roof Blocking Material Roof Blocking Labor SUBTOTAL	\$18,000.00 \$6,000.00 \$24,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$5,940.00 \$1,000.00 \$6,940.00	33.000 16.667 28.917	\$5,000.00	\$50.00
06200.00 06200.05 06200.10 06200.15 06200.98	FINISH CARPENTRY AND ARCHITECTURA Furnish Woodwork Install Woodwork Install Doors & Hardware SUBTOTAL	\$33,000.00 \$8,000.00 \$18,000.00 \$59,000.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	0 0 0	\$8,000.00 \$18,000.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
07100.00 07100.05 07100.98	FSB - WATERPROOFING/DAMPROOFING WATERPROOFING/DAMPROOFING SUBTOTAL	\$60,000.00 \$60,000.00	1	1	1		65.000 65.000	1	1
07200.00 07200.05 07200.98	BUILDING INSULATION BUILDING INSULATION SUBTOTAL	\$10,000.00 \$10,000.00	i .	1	{		0	1	1
07400.00 07400.05 07400.98	METAL SIDING AND SOFFITS METAL SIDING AND SOFFITS SUBTOTAL	\$64,500.00 \$64,500.00	1	1	· ·			1 40.,000.00	1 1
07500.00	FSB - ROOFING, FLASHING AND SHEET M	1		1		1			

AIA Document G702, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT,

containing Contractor's signed Certification, is attached. In tabulations below, amounts are stated to the nearest dollar.

Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items may apply.

APPLICATION NO.: 00012

APPLICATION DATE:

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NO.: 238

А	В	С	D	F	F	G		Н	I
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUE	WORK COM FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION (D+E)	MPLETED THIS PERIOD	MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D OR E)	TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE (D+E+F)	% (G÷C)	BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)	RETAINAGE (IF VARIABLE) RATE
07500.05 07500.98	ROOFING, FLASHING AND SHEET METAL SUBTOTAL	\$923,721.00 \$923,721.00	\$48,000.00 \$48,000.00	-\$111,579.50	SUBTOTOL #	\$159,579.50 -\$159,679.50	17.276 17.276	\$764,141.50 \$764,141.50	
07900.00 07900.05 107900.98	FSB - JOINT SEALANTS JOINT SEALANTS SUBTOTAL	\$39,200.00 \$39,200.00	l '	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	0 0	\$39,200.00 \$39,200.00	1 1
08100.00 08100.05 08100.98	METAL DOORS AND FRAMES METAL DOORS AND FRAMES SUBTOTAL	\$25,000.00 \$25,000.00	,		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$17,500.00 \$17,500.00	70.000 70.000	\$7,500.00 \$7,500.00	1
08200.00 08200.05 08200.98	WOOD DOORS WOOD DOORS SUBTOTAL	\$19,000.00 \$19,000.00				\$0.00 \$0.00		\$19,000.00 \$19,000.00	
08300.00 08300.05 08300.98	SPECIAL DOORS SPECIAL DOORS SUBTOTAL	\$2,900.00 \$2,900.00	1	1	· ·	į.	1	\$2,900.00 \$2,900.00	4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
08331.00 08331.05 08331.98	COILING DOORS COILING DOORS SUBTOTAL	\$3,800.00 \$3,800.00	1		· ·	1		\$3,800.00 \$3,800.00	1
08400.00 08400.05 08400.98	FSB - ALUMINUM ENTRANCES, DOORS, AN ALUMINUM ENTRANCES, DOORS, AND WI SUBTOTAL	\$298,200.00 \$298,200.00	1	i.	1	1		\$298,200.00 \$298,200.00	
08710.00 08710.05 08710.98	FINISH HARDWARE FINISH HARDWARE SUBTOTAL	\$39,000.00 \$39,000.00				1		\$39,000.00 \$39,000.00	
08800.00 08800.05 08800.98	FSB - GLASS AND GLAZING GLASS AND GLAZING SUBTOTAL	\$19,496.00 \$19,496.00	1		1			\$19,496.00 \$19,496.00	1

CONTINUATION SHEET

AIA DOCUMENT G703

PAGE 16 OF 17 PAGES

AIA Document G702, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT,

containing Contractor's signed Certification, is attached.

In tabulations below, amounts are stated to the nearest dollar.

Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items may apply.

APPLICATION NO.: 00012

APPLICATION DATE:

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NO.: 238

A	В	C	D	E_	F	G		н	1
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUE	WORK COM FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION (D+E)	MPLETED THIS PERIOD	MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D OR E)	TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE (D+E+F)	% (G÷C)	BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)	RETAINAGE (IF VARIABLE) RATE
15400.160	KITCHEN	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0,00	\$0.00
15400.161	UNDERGOUND WASTE	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,500.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$225.00
15400.162	LABOR-UNDERGROUND WASTE	\$4,500.00	\$1,575.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,575.00	35.000	\$2,925.00	\$78.75
15400.163	ABOVEGROUND WASTE AND VENT.	\$4,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	o	\$4,500.00	\$0.00
15400.164	LABOR- ABOVEGROUND WASTE AND VEN	\$5,000.00	í	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	o	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
15400.165	WATER PIPING	\$4,500.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$4,500.00	\$0.00
15400.166	LABOR- WATER PIPING	\$5,000.00	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
15400.167	GAS PIPING	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$2,500.00	1
15400.168	LABOR- GAS PIPING	\$2,500.00				\$0.00	0	\$2,500.00	4
15400.169	FIXTURES	\$4,500.00	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$4,500.00	\$0.00
15400.170	LABOR- FIXTURES	\$4,500,00	1	ຸ່ ຣຸດ ດດ	<u>\$0.00</u>	≉ับ บบ์	Ũ	\$4,500.00	90.00
15400.171	INSULATION	\$3,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	. 0	\$3,500.00	\$0.00
15400.172	DRAINS AND CARRIERS	\$5,000.00	1	\$0.00	1	\$0.00	0	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
15400.173	LABOR- DRAINS AND CARRIERS	\$3,000.00	i .	1 .	j	\$0.00	0	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
15400.174	·	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	ł :	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
15400.180	MISCELLANEOUS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
15400.181	COORDINATION DRAWINGS	\$7,900.00	\$6,715.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,715.00	85.000	\$1,185.00	\$335.75
15400.182	PERMITS/MOBILIZATION	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	100.000	\$0.00	\$250.00
15400.183	ASBUILTS/O & M	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	. \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$2,500.00	\$0.00
15400.900	SUBTOTAL	\$631,000.00	\$62,078.10	↓20,168.45	SUBTOTAL	Į	· -13.034	\$548.753.45	\$4,112.33
15500.000	FSB - HVAC				#S DRE O.K	· K	نمــــن	7	1
15500.000	HVAC	\$1,579,000.00	\$47,620.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	}	3.016	\$1,531,380.00	\$2,381.00
15500.184	SUBTOTAL	\$1,579,000.00	1	1	· ·		3.016		1 ' '
15500.155	SOBIOTAL	\$1,579,000.00	\$47,020.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$47,020,00	3.010	\$1,001,000.00	\$2,361.00
16000.00	FSB - ELECTRICAL			1				}	1
16000.05	ELECTRICAL	\$920,640.00	\$246,675.00	\$10,875.00	\$0.00	\$257,550,00	27.975	\$663,090.00	\$12,877.50
16000.98	SUBTOTAL	\$920,640.00	1 ' '	1	1		l .		1
16740.00	FSB - COMMUNICATION CABLING AND TE								
16740.05	COMMUNICATION CABLING AND TECHNO	\$164,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	o	\$164,900.00	\$0.00
16740.98	SUBTOTAL	\$164,900.00		1	((0	1	1
18000.00	JODEOTAL	\$104,900.00			l .	1	"	\$104,900.00	1
18000.00	CHANGE ORDERS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	30.00	\$0.00	"	\$0.00	\$0.0
10000.01	O INIGE ONDERS	I	1	1	1	l	i	i	I



G703-1992

CONTINUATION SHEET

AIA DOCUMENT G703

PAGE 17 OF 17 PAGES

AIA Document G702, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT,

containing Contractor's signed Certification, is attached.

In tabulations below, amounts are stated to the nearest dollar.

Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items may apply.

APPLICATION NO.: 00012

APPLICATION DATE:

PERIOD TO: 3/28/2003

ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NO.: 238

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G		Н	1
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	SCHEDULED VALUÉ	WORK COM FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION (D+E)	MPLETED THIS PERIOD	MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D OR E)	TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE (D+E+F)	% (G÷C)	BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)	RETAINAGE (IF VARIABLE) RATE
18000.02 18000.03 18000.04 18000.05 18000.06	CO#001 (Credit-permit, computer) CO#003 (Unsuitable soil) CO#002 (COP#1-#4) CCD#6	(\$20,000.00) \$132,804.25 \$18,169.13 \$5,205.69	\$132,804.25 \$8,214.35 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$5,205.69 \$348.77	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$132,804.25 \$8,214.35 \$5,205.69 \$0.00	100.000 45.210 100.000	\$0.00 \$9,954.78 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$6,640.21 \$410.72 \$260.29 \$0,00
		\$13,358,175.07	·	-\$309,041.66	\$0.00	£4,966,598.57	37.18%	\$8,391,580.50	\$248,329.94

\$13,354,829.28

\$302,691.87

\$4,960,248.78

\$ 8,394,580.50

